


Adopting an Easy-to-Read Prescription Medication Label

Henry N. Young, PhD
University of Georgia College of Pharmacy
Department of Clinical & Administrative Pharmacy

Michele Erikson¹; Steve Sparks¹; Sonal Ghura, BPharm, MBA, PhD Candidate²; David Mott, PhD²
¹ Wisconsin Health Literacy
² University of Wisconsin-Madison



Background

- Prescription drug labels often are the only print source of dosage instructions received by patients.
- Many different label styles, resulting in variability in the clarity and complexity of usage instructions.
- Inadequate understanding of prescription directions for use and auxiliary information on dispensed containers is widespread.
- The United States Pharmacopeia (USP), a scientific nonprofit organization that sets standards for the identity, strength, quality, and purity of medicines, released a set of evidence-based standards for medication labeling in May 2013.

Background

- USP – Prescription container label standards to promote patient understanding
 - Organize the prescription label in a patient-centered manner
 - Emphasize instructions and other information important to patients
 - Simplify language
 - Give explicit instructions
 - Include purpose for use
 - Limit auxiliary information
 - Address limited English proficiency
 - Improve readability

Objective

- Slow adoption of these standards
 - Only 3 states (CA, UT, NY) have begun to address this issue
 - Decision makers are often reluctant to consider implementing new strategies
- To explore the current attitudes and values of key healthcare stakeholders that may affect the adoption of the new labeling standards

Methods

- Theoretical Framework
 - Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations Theory (DIT)
 - Five attributes of an innovation: *Relative advantage, Compatibility, Complexity, Trialability and Observability.*
- Sample
 - 20 key stakeholders in rural and urban Wisconsin.
 - Software vendors, pharmacists (chain and independent), pharmacy managers (chain and independent) and physicians.
- Data Collection
 - A semi-structured interview guide based on DIT five attributes
 - Telephonic interviews
 - Audio-recorded and transcribed
- Data Analysis
 - Directed content analysis because of the DIT framework (3 researchers)
 - Descriptive coding was undertaken to characterize issues related to each attribute

Results

- Relative Advantage
 - General lack of awareness, except amongst software vendors
 - Standardization across labels
 - Improved patient care and outcomes
- Compatibility
 - Standards aligned with healthcare professionals' values
 - Corporate and state board buy-in?
- Complexity
 - Pharmacy software issues
 - Real estate of the label
- Trialability
 - Pharmacy practice settings (environment and workflow)
- Observability
 - Research evidence
 - Improved patient safety and reduction medication errors
 - Errors due to non-standard labels

Conclusions

- Stakeholder education and engagement
 - Patient/consumer involvement
- Design considerations
 - Label format
 - Lessons learned (labels in CA)
 - <http://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/licensing/labels.shtml>
- Future research
 - Additional states
 - Process evaluation (health care delivery)
 - Outcomes (self-management, overall health)

Questions?

